

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,323	09/28/2000	Henry A. Lardy	HOLISED.063A	2363
26551 75	590 10/17/2002			
HOLLIS-EDEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. 4435 EASTGATE MALL SUITE 400 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			EXAMINER	
			PESELEV, ELLI	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	12
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ic.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/675,323	LARDY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elli Peselev	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprehension for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u>ugust 2002</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	=x рапе Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 11-32 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-32</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		W . E	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep  Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		disapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in	Application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has I	peen received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 11	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/675,323

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 11-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the Office Action of 02/05/02.

Applicant's arguments filed 08/16/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants admit on page 35, lines 9-11 of their response that since the claimed methods are sufficient to treat the diseases, there is no basis to assert that those methods are sufficient to prevent the same diseases.

Claims 19, 21, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis in claim 11 for the additional compounds encompassed by claims 19 and 21.

It is improper to use the term "comprises" (claim 22) with respect to a compound because it leaves the structural formula of the compound open-ended. It is not clear from claim 24, if the claim is limited to the specific compounds set forth or if it encompasses all the compounds of formula (I). Further, the names of the compounds set forth in claim 24 are not art recognized.

Claims 11-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Blohm et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,075,464).

Blohm et al disclose the use of androstene derivatives for the treatment of androgen-related disorders. The claimed methods are anticipated by Blohm et al. In



Application/Control Number: 09/675,323

Art Unit: 1623

addition, if there are any differences between the claimed methods and the prior art method, the differences would appear to be minor in nature and the claimed methods, which fall within the scope of the prior art's disclosure would have been prima facie obvious from the said reference's disclosure to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made.

Applicant's arguments filed August 9, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive insofar as the above rejection relates to the claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is 703-308-4616. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8.30 a.m. - 5.00 p.m..

. N. N.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,323

Art Unit: 1623

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 703-308-4624. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Elli Peselev October 15, 2002

> ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800